

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/538,097	06/08/2005	Serverius Petrus Paulus Pronk	NL 021380	6929	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 06/16/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			TANG, KAREN C		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2151		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/538,097		PRONK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KAREN C. TANG	2151	

	KAREN C. TANG	2151	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		TINOTINE ET WASTI	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period cau- funder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imal, filed emendment	st concelling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,6-8,10-12 and 14-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO(CD(00) D N-(-)		
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (MOther: 	P10/56/06) Paper No(s)		
/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2151			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the combination of Lyles in view of Wu, in further view of Sourani did not disclose nor teach the following limitation: history of access requests previously recred multirequests previously sent and or grants previously received. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant intended read the specification into the claim language, however, the claim language is still too broad that the current cited of records in combination, can read on the claim limitation as stated in last office action filed on 41/108. Therefore, applicant's argument is considered but not persuasive.